0110						375
OCT 1 8 2024 AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER Under Small Entity Status					Attorney Docket No: 50051	
Application Serial Number: 10/081,419		Filing Date: 2/22/2002		Examiner: Ganey		Art Unit: 3752
Invention: Arou	ınd-the-Pump Ad	ditive Sys	tem for Industria	l Scale Hazards	3	
	MISSIONER FOR with is an amend			ed application.	The fee has be	en calculated as
	_	С	LAIMS AS AMI	ENDED		
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	NO. OF EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENT	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	17	MINUS	17	0	\$9	\$ 0.00
NDEP. CLAIMS	4	MINUS	4	0	\$43	\$ 0.00
a	mall entity status verified statemen	of this app t previous	ly submitted.	7 CFR 1.9 and	1.27 has been e	·
A	check in the amo	ount of \$	is attached			27 is enclosed.
N	o additional fee is	required.				
<u>/ </u>	<u>/13/</u> 4 tte	•	Sue Z. Shaper Reg. No. 3166	3		
first class m		ldressed to t	he Commissioner fo	r Patents, P.O. Box	x 1450, Alexandri	h sufficient postage for a, VA 22313-1450, or
	Sue Z. Shaj	Sugar		Reg. No.	31663	



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Williams

Application No.: 10/081,419

Filed: 2/22/2002

Title: Around-the-Pump Additive System for Industrial

Scale Hazards

Attorney Docket No.: 50051

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

Art Unit: 3752

Ganey

Examiner:

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of July 13, 2004, please amend this application as follows:

In the claims: as per the attached claims sheet attachment.

Status of the claims. Claims 1-17 are pending. Claims, 3, 4, 10-12, and 14 are withdrawn from consideration, pending determination of whether a generic claim is allowable. Claims 1, 2, 5 –9, 13, and 15-17 have been rejected. Applicant submits that in light of the attached amendments, all non-withdrawn claims are allowable. Furthermore, applicant submits that claims 3, 10, 11, and 14 are also allowable. Such claims properly depend from allowable generic independent claims 1, 9, 16 and 17.

REMARKS

Applicant submits that the Section 112 based rejections have been overcome with the attached amended claims.

In regard to Section 103, independent claims 1, 9, 16 and 17 were rejected as unpatentable over Hollan in view of Williams. The Examiner recites Hollan for showing "a fitting 30." Hollan's "fitting 30" is actually a water inlet manifold attached to the